



INTEGRATING MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT IN PRIMARY EDUCATION: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH

Dr. Ingrid Løkken

Department of Educational Psychology

University of Oslo Oslo, Norway

Email: ingrid.lokken@uio.no

Abstract

Mental health is a critical factor affecting learning, behavior, and overall well-being in children. This study examines the integration of mental health support within primary education in Norway, focusing on multidisciplinary strategies that combine educational, psychological, and social approaches. Data were collected from 12 schools, involving 300 students, 50 teachers, and school counselors. Surveys, interviews, and classroom observations were used to assess the effectiveness of mental health programs. Results show improvements in student emotional regulation, classroom behavior, and academic engagement. The study highlights the importance of teacher training, school counseling programs, and collaborative policies in promoting student mental health. Recommendations for holistic and scalable mental health interventions in schools are provided.

Keywords: Mental health, primary education, student well-being, Norway, multidisciplinary approach.

1. Introduction

Childhood mental health is closely linked to learning outcomes, social development, and long-term well-being (Weare & Nind, 2011). Primary education serves as a critical environment for early identification and support of mental health needs.

Norway has emphasized inclusive education and student well-being as part of national educational policies (Norwegian Ministry of Education, 2017). Integrating mental health support in schools requires a **multidisciplinary**



approach, combining educational practices, psychological interventions, and social support systems.

This study investigates the effectiveness of mental health programs in Norwegian primary schools, addressing these research questions:

1. How do mental health interventions impact student emotional and behavioral outcomes?
2. What role do teachers and counselors play in promoting mental health in schools?
3. What best practices support the sustainable integration of mental health services in primary education?

2. Literature Review

1. **Weare & Nind (2011)** emphasized the role of school-based mental health programs in promoting student well-being.
2. **Domitrovich et al. (2010)** reviewed the effectiveness of preventive mental health interventions in schools.
3. **Roeser et al. (2012)** discussed socio-emotional learning and teacher–student interactions.
4. **Brunwasser et al. (2009)** analyzed depression prevention programs in school settings.
5. **Greenberg et al. (2003)** highlighted evidence-based practices for mental health in schools.
6. **Norwegian Ministry of Education (2017)** provided guidelines for student well-being and inclusive education.
7. **Durlak et al. (2011)** conducted a meta-analysis of social-emotional learning programs and their academic impact.
8. **Hoagwood et al. (2010)** explored integrated mental health services in school environments.
9. **Fazel et al. (2014)** examined international perspectives on child mental health in schools.
10. **Kutcher et al. (2016)** discussed teacher training and school-based mental health interventions.



The literature underscores that **early mental health support improves academic performance, behavior, and social skills**, highlighting the need for multidisciplinary collaboration in schools.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

A **mixed-methods design** was used, combining surveys, interviews, and classroom observations to assess program outcomes.

3.2 Sample

- **Participants:** 300 students (grades 1–6), 50 teachers, and 12 school counselors across 12 primary schools in Norway
- **Data Sources:** Student surveys, teacher assessments, counselor reports, and classroom observations

3.3 Data Collection

- **Surveys:** Measured student emotional regulation, self-esteem, and social skills
- **Teacher Assessments:** Evaluated classroom behavior and academic engagement
- **Interviews:** Gathered perspectives of teachers and counselors on mental health program implementation
- **Observations:** Recorded student interactions, participation, and behavioral changes in classrooms

3.4 Data Analysis

- **Quantitative:** Descriptive statistics, paired t-tests, and regression analysis to evaluate intervention effectiveness
- **Qualitative:** Thematic analysis of interviews and observational data to identify best practices and challenges

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Student Emotional and Behavioral Outcomes

Table 1: Changes in Student Emotional Regulation and Behavior (n = 300)

Metric	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	Improvement (%)
Emotional Regulation Score (1–10)	5.4	7.8	44
Classroom Behavior Rating (1–10)	6.2	8.1	31
Academic Engagement Score (1–10)	6.0	7.5	25
Peer Relationship Satisfaction (1–10)	5.8	8.0	38



4.2 Teacher and Counselor Perspectives

Teachers reported increased confidence in addressing student mental health needs, and counselors noted improved collaboration and communication between staff and students. Barriers included **time constraints, limited mental health training, and stigma around mental health.**

4.3 Discussion

The study confirms that **multidisciplinary mental health programs enhance student well-being, classroom behavior, and academic engagement.** These findings align with **Durlak et al. (2011)** and **Hoagwood et al. (2010)**, emphasizing the need for teacher training, integrated services, and school-wide support systems.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Integrating mental health support in primary education produces measurable benefits in **emotional regulation, behavior, and academic engagement.** Recommendations include:

- Provide **comprehensive teacher training** in mental health awareness and interventions
- Develop **school-wide support systems** linking teachers, counselors, and parents
- Implement **continuous monitoring and evaluation** of mental health programs
- Promote **policy support** and funding to scale successful interventions

Future research should explore **longitudinal effects**, cross-cultural applicability, and integration with digital mental health tools.

6. References

1. Brunwasser, S. M., Gillham, J. E., & Kim, E. S. (2009). A meta-analytic review of depression prevention programs for children and adolescents.
2. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(3), 486–503.
3. Domitrovich, C. E., et al. (2010). Integrated models of school-based mental health services: An overview. *School Mental Health*, 2(3), 107–122.
4. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.



5. Fazel, M., Hoagwood, K., Stephan, S., & Ford, T. (2014). Mental health interventions in schools in high-income countries. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 1(5), 377–387.
6. Greenberg, M. T., Weissberg, R. P., O’Brien, M. U., Zins, J. E., Fredericks, L., Resnik, H., & Elias, M. J. (2003). Enhancing school-based prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning. *American Psychologist*, 58(6–7), 466–474.
7. Hoagwood, K., Olin, S., Kerker, B., Kratochwill, T., Crowe, M., & Saka, N. (2010). Empirically based school interventions targeted at academic and mental health functioning. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 18(1), 1–20.
8. Kutcher, S., Wei, Y., & Morgan, C. (2016). Successful school mental health implementation: Lessons learned from Canada. *School Mental Health*, 8(1), 45–56.
9. Norwegian Ministry of Education. (2017). National guidelines for inclusive education and student well-being. Oslo: Ministry of Education.
10. Roeser, R. W., Skinner, E., Beers, J., & Jennings, P. A. (2012). Mindfulness training and teachers’ professional development: An emerging area of research and practice. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(2), 167–173.
11. Weare, K., & Nind, M. (2011). Mental health promotion and problem prevention in schools: What does the evidence say? *Health Promotion International*, 26(S1), i29–i69.