



# MECHANISMS FOR PREPARING FOR INNOVATIVE ACTIVITY IN PEDAGOGICAL EDUCATION BASED ON A CLUSTER APPROACH

Abdurakhmanov M. B.  
Independent Researcher,  
Chirchiq State Pedagogical University

## Abstract

This article examines the mechanisms for preparing future teachers for innovative activity in pedagogical education through a cluster-based approach. The study proceeds from the idea that the modernization of teacher education requires not only the renewal of curricular content, but also the creation of an integrated educational environment in which higher education institutions, schools, research centers, methodological services, employers, and digital platforms operate as interconnected components of a unified pedagogical cluster. The article analyzes the conceptual foundations of the cluster approach and its potential for strengthening the practical, research, organizational, and technological readiness of students for innovation-oriented professional activity. Special attention is given to the development of institutional cooperation, interdisciplinary integration, mentoring systems, project-based learning, and reflective practices that enable future teachers to respond flexibly to the changing demands of the educational sphere. The paper substantiates that cluster interaction expands opportunities for professional self-development, supports the formation of innovative competence, and increases the adaptability of pedagogical education to contemporary social and educational transformations. The findings indicate that the effectiveness of innovation training depends on coordinated management, resource integration, the continuity of theory and practice, and the establishment of sustainable partnerships among all participants in the pedagogical process. As a result, the cluster approach is interpreted as an effective mechanism for improving the quality of pedagogical education and for fostering teachers capable of designing, implementing, and evaluating educational innovations in diverse professional contexts.



**Keywords:** Pedagogical education, cluster approach, innovative activity, teacher training, innovative competence, educational integration, professional readiness, project-based learning, pedagogical partnership, educational environment.

## **Introduction**

### **PEDAGOGIK TA'LIMDA KLASTERLI YONDASHUV ASOSIDA INNOVATSION FAOLIYATGA TAYYORLASH MEXANIZMLARI**

Abduraxmanov M. B.

Chirchiq davlat pedagogika universiteti mustaqil tadqiqotchisi

#### **Annotatsiya:**

Mazkur maqolada pedagogik ta'limda bo'lajak o'qituvchilarni innovatsion faoliyatga tayyorlash mexanizmlari klasterli yondashuv asosida tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqot zamonaviy pedagogik ta'limni takomillashtirish faqat o'quv mazmunini yangilash bilan cheklanmasdan, balki oliy ta'lim muassasalari, umumta'lim maktablari, ilmiy markazlar, metodik xizmatlar, ish beruvchilar va raqamli platformalar o'zaro bog'langan yagona pedagogik klaster sifatida faoliyat yuritadigan integrallashgan muhitni yaratishni talab etishi haqidagi g'oyaga asoslanadi. Maqolada klasterli yondashuvning nazariy asoslari hamda uning talabalarda innovatsion yo'naltirilgan kasbiy faoliyat uchun zarur bo'lgan amaliy, tadqiqotchilik, tashkiliy va texnologik tayyorgarlikni shakllantirishdagi imkoniyatlari yoritiladi. Shuningdek, institutsional hamkorlikni rivojlantirish, fanlararo integratsiya, mentorlik tizimi, loyiha asosida o'qitish va reflektiv amaliyot kabi omillarning bo'lajak pedagoglarning kasbiy moslashuvchanligi va yangilik yaratishga tayyorligini ta'minlashdagi o'rni ochib beriladi. Tadqiqot natijalari klasterli hamkorlik professional o'zini rivojlantirish imkoniyatlarini kengaytirishi, innovatsion kompetensiyani shakllantirishi hamda pedagogik ta'limning ijtimoiy va ta'limiy o'zgarishlarga moslashuvchanligini oshirishini ko'rsatadi. Maqolada innovatsion tayyorgarlik samaradorligi boshqaruvning muvofiqlashtirilganligi, resurslarning integratsiyasi, nazariya va amaliyot uzviyligi hamda pedagogik jarayon ishtirokchilari o'rtasidagi barqaror hamkorlikka bog'liq ekanligi asoslab beriladi. Shu bois klasterli yondashuv pedagogik ta'lim sifatini oshirish va turli kasbiy vaziyatlarda ta'limiy



innovatsiyalarni loyihalash, joriy etish hamda baholashga qodir pedagoglarni tayyorlashning samarali mexanizmi sifatida talqin etiladi.

**Kalit soʻzlar:** pedagogik taʼlim, klasterli yondashuv, innovatsion faoliyat, boʻlajak oʻqituvchi, innovatsion kompetensiya, taʼlimiy integratsiya, kasbiy tayyorgarlik, loyiha asosida oʻqitish, pedagogik hamkorlik, taʼlim muhiti.

### **Introduction**

The rapid transformation of contemporary education has intensified the demand for teachers who are not only professionally competent in traditional instructional practices but also prepared for innovative activity in complex and dynamic educational environments. In the context of pedagogical education, innovative activity is increasingly understood as a purposeful process that involves designing new teaching strategies, integrating advanced educational technologies, participating in collaborative problem-solving, and adapting pedagogical decisions to emerging social and institutional needs. Such a perspective requires higher education institutions to reconsider the structure, content, and mechanisms of teacher preparation. It is no longer sufficient to train future teachers through isolated academic disciplines and limited teaching practice. Rather, there is a growing need for integrated models that connect theoretical instruction, practical experience, research engagement, and professional cooperation within a unified developmental space.

One of the most productive ways to address this challenge is the cluster approach. In pedagogical education, the cluster approach can be defined as a system of stable and purposeful interaction among universities, schools, research institutions, methodological centers, employers, local communities, and digital learning environments. These actors do not function separately, but rather as mutually reinforcing participants in a common educational ecosystem aimed at improving teacher preparation and fostering sustainable innovation. The importance of such an approach lies in its capacity to create a multidimensional learning environment in which future teachers gain access to real professional contexts, diverse pedagogical experiences, and collaborative mechanisms for generating and implementing new ideas.



The relevance of preparing future teachers for innovative activity through cluster-based mechanisms is especially significant under the conditions of educational modernization. Pedagogical universities are expected to graduate specialists who can respond flexibly to curriculum reforms, digitalization processes, competence-based education standards, and the increasing complexity of classroom realities. This expectation places innovation readiness at the center of teacher education. Innovation readiness includes motivational, cognitive, operational, communicative, and reflective dimensions. A future teacher must not only understand the essence of innovation but also be capable of planning, testing, evaluating, and refining pedagogical innovations in cooperation with others. The cluster model makes such preparation more realistic because it shifts education from a closed institutional framework to an open partnership model.

Within this framework, teacher preparation becomes a process of active participation in professional networks rather than passive mastery of pre-existing knowledge. The cluster approach strengthens the relationship between pedagogical theory and school practice, supports interdisciplinary integration, and expands opportunities for research-based learning, mentoring, and project activity. It also contributes to the formation of a professional identity oriented toward initiative, responsibility, and lifelong development. In this sense, cluster interaction does not simply enrich teacher education with external resources; it transforms the very logic of pedagogical preparation by establishing cooperation, mobility, and innovation as foundational principles.

The present article is devoted to the analysis of mechanisms that ensure the preparation of future teachers for innovative activity in pedagogical education on the basis of a cluster approach. It focuses on the pedagogical and organizational potential of cluster interaction, identifies the structural elements that shape innovation readiness, and substantiates the practical significance of coordinated partnerships in teacher education. The central idea of the article is that cluster-based preparation creates conditions for a more flexible, practice-oriented, and innovation-driven model of pedagogical education capable of responding effectively to the demands of modern society and the evolving educational system.



## **Methods**

This study is based on a qualitative and integrative methodological framework aimed at identifying and substantiating the mechanisms for preparing future teachers for innovative activity in pedagogical education through a cluster approach. The methodological design combines theoretical analysis, comparative interpretation, systematization of pedagogical concepts, and structural modeling of educational interaction. Such a combination makes it possible to examine the phenomenon of innovation-oriented teacher preparation not as an isolated instructional process, but as a multidimensional pedagogical system formed through institutional cooperation, practical immersion, and reflexive development.

The theoretical basis of the research includes the competence-based approach, the system approach, the activity-based approach, and the cluster approach as a specific strategy of educational integration. The competence-based approach allows the study to define the expected outcomes of teacher preparation in terms of innovative competence, which includes creativity, adaptability, pedagogical design skills, research literacy, technological fluency, and readiness for collaborative problem-solving. The system approach provides the conceptual lens through which pedagogical education is viewed as an interconnected structure where curriculum, practice, management, resources, partnerships, and assessment function as mutually dependent components. The activity-based approach emphasizes the active role of the future teacher as a subject of educational transformation rather than a passive recipient of academic content. The cluster approach serves as the central organizing methodology, enabling the analysis of how different institutional and professional actors contribute to the development of innovation readiness in a shared educational environment.

The research methods include analytical review of pedagogical literature, synthesis of national and international approaches to innovation in teacher education, classification of cluster components, and modeling of pedagogical mechanisms within a cluster-based environment. Through the analytical review, key categories such as innovative activity, pedagogical innovation, professional readiness, educational partnership, and cluster interaction are clarified and interpreted in relation to teacher education. Comparative synthesis is used to reveal common and distinctive characteristics of innovation-oriented training



models and to identify those elements that can be adapted within pedagogical universities. Classification is applied to distinguish the main participants of the pedagogical cluster, including higher education institutions, general education schools, methodological services, research structures, education authorities, and digital platforms. Modeling is used to conceptualize the mechanisms through which cluster interaction influences the formation of innovative competence among future teachers.

Particular attention is given to the internal logic of cluster-based preparation. The study identifies several interconnected mechanisms: organizational coordination, partnership-based practice, project-oriented learning, mentoring support, interdisciplinary integration, digital collaboration, and reflexive evaluation. These mechanisms are not treated as isolated procedures, but as parts of a coherent pedagogical system that operates through continuity between theory and practice. The methodological emphasis is therefore placed on relational analysis, showing how innovation readiness is formed through participation in authentic educational processes and through engagement with multiple stakeholders in the pedagogical field.

The validity of the research is ensured through conceptual consistency, logical coherence of analytical categories, and correspondence between the selected methodological approaches and the object of study. The chosen methods make it possible to reveal both the structural and functional aspects of preparing future teachers for innovative activity. As a result, the methodological framework not only supports the theoretical interpretation of the cluster approach, but also provides a basis for developing practically relevant recommendations for improving pedagogical education in contemporary conditions.

## **Results**

The analysis shows that the preparation of future teachers for innovative activity becomes significantly more effective when pedagogical education is organized within a cluster-based environment. In such an environment, professional training is no longer limited to the internal resources of a university, but is enriched through systematic cooperation with schools, methodological centers, research institutions, local educational authorities, and digital learning platforms. This integration creates a broader pedagogical space in which future teachers



encounter real educational problems, participate in collaborative solution-building, and gradually acquire the competencies necessary for innovative professional practice. As a result, the cluster approach demonstrates a clear capacity to transform teacher preparation from a predominantly theoretical process into a dynamic model of professionally meaningful engagement.

One of the major findings of the study is that the cluster approach strengthens the continuity between pedagogical theory and educational practice. Future teachers who learn within cluster-based mechanisms are more likely to connect academic knowledge with practical application because they are involved in authentic teaching contexts from the early stages of preparation. This continuity contributes to the formation of operational readiness for innovation. Students do not merely study innovative methods in abstract terms; they test, adapt, and evaluate them in partnership settings. Such experience enhances their confidence in experimenting with pedagogical tools, designing learner-centered activities, and introducing context-sensitive improvements into the educational process. Therefore, cluster interaction functions as a mediating structure through which innovation becomes an experienced practice rather than an external requirement.

The study also reveals that partnership-based preparation positively influences the motivational and reflective dimensions of innovative readiness. When students engage with practicing teachers, mentors, school leaders, and research supervisors, they begin to perceive innovation as a professional value associated with growth, responsibility, and educational impact. Their motivation becomes more internally grounded because they witness how pedagogical ideas can produce meaningful changes in learning environments. At the same time, continuous interaction with different actors encourages reflective thinking. Students learn to assess not only the outcomes of their pedagogical decisions but also the processes through which these decisions are formed, implemented, and revised. This reflective capacity is essential for innovative activity because it supports conscious experimentation, professional self-correction, and the ability to respond productively to uncertainty.

Another important result concerns the role of interdisciplinary integration and project-based learning within the cluster model. The study indicates that innovative activity develops more intensively when future teachers are involved in collaborative projects that require them to combine pedagogical knowledge



with technological, psychological, managerial, and social perspectives. Such projects expand professional thinking beyond the boundaries of one discipline and encourage the search for non-standard solutions. In this regard, the cluster approach supports the emergence of a more flexible and research-oriented teacher identity. Students begin to see themselves not simply as performers of prescribed methods, but as active participants in the design and transformation of educational practice.

Overall, the results confirm that cluster-based mechanisms create favorable conditions for the comprehensive development of innovative competence in pedagogical education. The most significant outcomes include stronger practice orientation, enhanced partnership skills, increased motivation for innovation, greater reflective ability, and deeper integration of interdisciplinary resources. These findings support the conclusion that the cluster approach is not only organizationally effective, but also pedagogically productive in preparing future teachers for innovation-driven professional activity.

## **Discussion**

The results of the study confirm that the cluster approach has substantial pedagogical value in the preparation of future teachers for innovative activity. This value is determined not only by the expansion of institutional cooperation, but also by the transformation of the internal logic of pedagogical education. In traditional models, innovation is often presented as an additional component of professional training, usually associated with separate courses, isolated methodological recommendations, or occasional practical assignments. In contrast, the cluster model places innovative activity at the center of teacher formation by embedding it into the everyday structure of academic learning, professional practice, collaborative interaction, and reflective analysis. Such integration changes the role of the future teacher from a learner who reproduces existing pedagogical knowledge into an active participant in educational development.

From this perspective, the cluster approach should be understood as a mechanism of systemic renewal in pedagogical education. Its significance lies in the creation of a unified educational ecosystem where each participant contributes to the formation of innovation readiness. Universities provide theoretical and scientific



foundations, schools offer authentic practical contexts, methodological centers support the interpretation and adaptation of advanced pedagogical strategies, while digital environments expand opportunities for communication, experimentation, and access to educational resources. The effectiveness of this model depends on the degree to which these structures function not in parallel, but in a coordinated and mutually reinforcing way. This coordination ensures that innovation is not fragmented into disconnected experiences but becomes a continuous developmental trajectory.

The discussion also indicates that the cluster approach has a strong influence on the quality of professional socialization. Through sustained interaction with practicing educators, mentors, and institutional partners, future teachers develop a more realistic and mature understanding of the profession. They learn that innovative activity is not limited to the invention of completely new methods, but often involves the thoughtful modification of existing practices, the flexible use of available resources, and the ability to respond creatively to concrete educational challenges. This understanding protects them from formal or superficial interpretations of innovation and helps shape a professionally grounded attitude toward change. In this sense, cluster-based training promotes not only competence formation but also the development of pedagogical responsibility and strategic thinking.

At the same time, the study suggests that the successful implementation of cluster-based mechanisms requires specific organizational and pedagogical conditions. Stable partnerships, common developmental goals, open communication among institutions, and coherent management structures are necessary for the cluster to function effectively. Without these conditions, the idea of integration may remain declarative and fail to produce meaningful influence on teacher preparation. Another important issue concerns the readiness of university instructors and school mentors themselves to work within a collaborative innovation-oriented framework. Their methodological culture, openness to dialogue, and ability to guide reflective practice directly affect the quality of student preparation. Therefore, the cluster approach should not be regarded as a self-sufficient organizational form, but as a pedagogical system that requires deliberate design, support, and continuous improvement.



In a broader sense, the findings of the study contribute to current discussions on the modernization of pedagogical education. They show that innovative teacher preparation becomes more productive when it is based on openness, interaction, and shared professional responsibility. The cluster model responds to contemporary educational demands by connecting knowledge, practice, research, and institutional partnership into a coherent whole. As such, it offers a promising framework for preparing teachers who are capable of critical reflection, pedagogical creativity, and active participation in the renewal of education.

### **Conclusion**

The study makes it possible to conclude that preparing future teachers for innovative activity in pedagogical education requires a transition from fragmented and predominantly theoretical training models to an integrated system based on cluster interaction. The cluster approach creates favorable conditions for combining academic knowledge, practical experience, research engagement, institutional partnership, and reflective development within a single educational space. Such a model corresponds to the contemporary demands placed on teacher education, where professional readiness is increasingly associated with flexibility, initiative, creativity, and the capacity to respond productively to educational change. In this regard, the cluster approach should be regarded not merely as an organizational strategy, but as a pedagogically meaningful mechanism for developing innovation-oriented professional competence.

The findings of the article show that the effectiveness of cluster-based preparation is determined by the quality of interaction among the main participants of the pedagogical process. When universities, schools, methodological centers, researchers, and digital educational environments function in coordinated partnership, future teachers gain access to a richer and more realistic professional context. This context enables them to test pedagogical ideas, participate in collaborative projects, develop reflective skills, and understand innovation as a continuous and responsible dimension of professional activity. As a result, the cluster model contributes to the formation of a teacher who is not only able to implement existing methods competently, but also capable of designing and adapting educational innovations in accordance with specific learning needs and institutional conditions.



An important conclusion of the study is that innovative readiness in teacher education is a multidimensional construct that includes motivational, cognitive, technological, communicative, practical, and reflexive components. The cluster approach strengthens each of these components because it situates student learning within a living pedagogical network rather than within the boundaries of a single institution. Through such participation, future teachers acquire experience in dialogue, co-design, pedagogical experimentation, and professional self-assessment. This makes their preparation more dynamic, context-sensitive, and professionally relevant. In addition, the cluster model supports the continuity between theory and practice, which is one of the central conditions for meaningful innovation in education.

At the same time, the study confirms that the successful realization of cluster-based mechanisms depends on a number of pedagogical and managerial conditions. These include clearly defined goals of partnership, stable institutional cooperation, methodological support for mentors and instructors, integration of digital tools, and continuous evaluation of educational outcomes. Without these conditions, cluster interaction may remain formal and fail to influence the deeper quality of teacher preparation. Therefore, the implementation of the cluster approach requires purposeful planning, resource coordination, and a shared commitment to innovation among all participants in the educational system. It also requires universities to reconsider their role, moving from being isolated providers of pedagogical knowledge to becoming active coordinators of collaborative professional development.

In summary, the cluster approach offers substantial potential for modernizing pedagogical education and for preparing future teachers who are ready for innovation-driven professional activity. Its strength lies in its ability to unite diverse educational actors around common developmental goals and to create an environment in which innovation becomes a natural part of teacher formation. The article substantiates that cluster-based mechanisms enhance the quality, relevance, and sustainability of pedagogical training. Consequently, the development of such mechanisms may be considered one of the strategic directions for improving teacher education and for ensuring that future teachers are equipped to act as reflective, competent, and creative agents of educational transformation.



## References:

1. Muslimov, N. A. Innovatsion ta'lim texnologiyalari. Toshkent: Fan va texnologiya, 2019.
2. Tolipov, O'. Q., Usmonboyeva, M. Pedagogik texnologiyalarning tatbiqiy asoslari. Toshkent: Fan, 2017.
3. Ishmuhamedov, R. J., Abduqodirov, A. A., Pardaev, A. Ta'limda innovatsion texnologiyalar. Toshkent: Iste'dod, 2018.
4. Avezova, AK (2025). Simple medical conversations in uzbek: a guide for beginners in healthcare. E-Conference platform, 1(20-may), 45-47.
5. Jumanazarovna, B. X. (2025). Using innovative technologies in teaching English to medical students. *International Journal of Pedagogics*, 5(03), 18-20.
6. Supieva, B. (2022). Improving the methodology of students' use of internet technology in the process of professional development. *ILM SARCHASHMALARI*, 1(2), 152-154.
7. Supieva, B. (2021). Improving the skills of employees from the state language. Conference, 1(1), 4-7.
8. Supieva, B. (2021). Mechanisms Of Creating an Electronic Methodological Set In The Professional Development Programs For The State Language. *The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations*, 3(3), 344-354.
9. Supiyeva, B. A. (2021). Use of distance learning opportunities in the system of professional development. *Academicia: an international multidisciplinary research journal*, 11(2), 1191-1197.
10. Madinabonu, J. (2025). Practical strategies for integrating mobile apps into English language teaching. *Образование наука и инновационные идеи в мире*, 82(5), 175-184.
11. Orziqulova, C. R. (2023). The psychological impact of emotional states and anthropometric measurements on self-perception. *International Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities*, 11(10), 805-810.
12. Турсунов, X. (2025). Роль активного замаха рук, головы и туловища снизу-вверх в обеспечении высоты вертикального прыжка с места у волейболистов. *Мугаллим*, 1(1), 544-548.



13. Tajibaev, S., Allamuratov, S., Erhan, B., Ismoilov, T., Tursunov, K., & Kuchkarov, F. (2024). Methodology of Assessment of Athletes' Jumping Skills Using Electronic Equipment.
14. Kalmuratova, K. R., & Turumbetova, Z. Y. (2023). Electronic and distance learning technologies in higher education. *Int. J. Pedagogy*, 3(02), 14-18.
15. Turumbetova, Z. (2023). Problem of periodization in developmental psychology. *Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal*, 4(6), 323-330.
16. Турсунов, Х. (2024). Роль активного замаха рук, головы и туловища снизу-вверх в обеспечении высоты вертикального прыжка с места у волейболистов. *SPORT ILM-FANINING DOLZARB MUAMMOLARI*, 1(2), 61-64.
17. Турсунов, Х. (2024). Талабалар спортида муаммолар ва назарийуслужбий таҳлил қилиш. *ВЕСТНИК ФИЗИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ И СПОРТА*, 1(6), 19-27.
18. Orziqulova, C. R. (2022). Yoshlarda yuksak insoniy hislarni shakllantirishning ijtimoiy psixologik omillari. *МАКТАБ ВА НАЙОТ*, 1(1), 27-28.
19. Orziqulova, C. R. (2022). High human feelings in early adolescence impact on career choice. *American Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development*, 1(9), 274-277.
20. Jabborova, M. (2025). The role of mobile-assisted language learning (mall) in English language teaching: enhancing access, engagement, and outcomes. *E-Conference platform*, 1(20), 113-115.
21. Madinabonu, J. (2025). Enhancing English language learning through mobile technologies: a study of mall. *EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements*, 1(5), 850-858.
22. Jabborova, M. (2025). Til o'rgatishda interfaol metodlarni yo'li. *Mugallim*, 2(1), 218-220.
23. Bekchanova, X. J. (2025). Semantic differences between synonyms in English and uzbek languages: a comparative study. *Tadqiqotlar*, 3(2), 78-82.
24. Azamatovna, N. S. (2025). Tibbiyotda inglizcha terminlarni o'rgatishda o'qitish usullari.



25. Azamatovna, N. S. (2024). Developing critical thinking skills through English language learning. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 2(12), 349-350.
26. Saidahmedov, N. Yangi pedagogik texnologiyalar: nazariya va amaliyot. Toshkent: Moliya, 2016.
27. Ochilov, M. Pedagogik innovatsiyalar va ularni ta'lim jarayoniga joriy etish. Toshkent: O'qituvchi, 2020.