



# THE ROLE OF COMPOUND WORDS IN THE FORMATION OF THE PRAGMATIC CONTENT OF PHRASEOLOGISMS IN ENGLISH

Amirkulova Nargiza Amirkul kizi

Senior Teacher of the University of Economics and Pedagogy

Karshi city, Republic of Uzbekistan

nargiza\_amirkulovnaPhD@gmail.com

## Abstract

Phraseological units constitute an essential component of the English language, reflecting not only semantic meanings but also pragmatic, cultural, and communicative functions. Among the linguistic elements that contribute to the formation of phraseological meaning, compound words occupy a significant place. Compound words frequently serve as key components within phraseologisms, enriching their expressive, evaluative, and pragmatic potential. This article investigates the role of compound words in the formation of the pragmatic content of English phraseological units. The study examines the semantic and pragmatic functions of compounds in idioms, fixed expressions, and phraseological combinations. Through linguistic and pragmatic analysis, the research demonstrates that compound words contribute to the transmission of speaker attitudes, emotional evaluations, cultural stereotypes, and communicative intentions. The findings reveal that compounds play a crucial role in shaping the pragmatic effectiveness of phraseological expressions and enhancing their communicative impact.

**Keywords:** Compound words, phraseologisms, pragmatics, phraseology, semantic meaning, communicative function, English linguistics, idioms, language and culture, lexical semantics.



## Introduction

Language serves not only as a means of conveying information but also as a tool for expressing attitudes, emotions, intentions, and cultural values. Pragmatics, as a branch of linguistics, studies how meaning is interpreted within specific communicative contexts. One of the most important linguistic resources for pragmatic expression is phraseology. Phraseological units, including idioms, proverbs, and fixed expressions, often convey meanings that extend beyond the literal interpretation of their components. These units perform important communicative functions by expressing evaluation, persuasion, irony, humor, and emotional attitudes. Compound words represent a productive category of lexical units in English. They frequently occur within phraseological expressions and contribute significantly to their pragmatic content. Through metaphorical, symbolic, and culturally specific associations, compound words enhance the communicative effectiveness of phraseologisms.

## Literature Review

The study of phraseology has developed significantly over the past century. Scholars have emphasized that phraseological units possess both semantic and pragmatic dimensions. Vinogradov (1977) classified phraseological units according to their degree of semantic cohesion and emphasized their role in expressive communication. His work remains fundamental to phraseological studies. Kunin (1984) viewed phraseological units as stable lexical combinations characterized by figurative meanings and pragmatic functions. According to his theory, phraseologisms often reflect cultural norms and collective experiences. Leech (1983) introduced important concepts in pragmatics, arguing that meaning extends beyond linguistic structure to include speaker intention and contextual interpretation. Cruse (2000) notes that lexical elements within phraseological units frequently acquire pragmatic meanings that differ from their dictionary definitions. The study of compound words has also attracted considerable scholarly attention. Bauer (1983) argues that compounds are among the most productive forms of word formation in English and often develop complex semantic structures. Recent cognitive linguistic research demonstrates that compounds within phraseological expressions contribute to conceptual metaphor formation, cultural symbolism, and evaluative meaning.



Although substantial research has been conducted on phraseology and pragmatics separately, the specific contribution of compound words to the pragmatic content of phraseological units remains insufficiently explored. This article seeks to address this gap.

## Methodology

This research employs a qualitative linguistic methodology based on semantic, phraseological, and pragmatic analysis. The following methods were utilized: descriptive linguistic analysis; semantic analysis of compound words; pragmatic interpretation of phraseological units; contextual analysis of communicative functions; comparative examination of phraseological examples.

## Materials and Discussion

**Compound Words as Components of Phraseological Units.** Compound words consist of two or more lexical stems functioning as a single semantic unit. In English, compounds frequently appear in phraseological expressions. Examples include: “Cold-blooded”, “Hard-headed”, “High-flyer”, “White-collar”, “Tight-fisted”.

Within phraseologisms, these compounds often acquire meanings that exceed their literal interpretations. For example: *a cold-blooded decision, a hard-headed businessman, a high-flyer in the company*. In each case, the compound contributes evaluative and pragmatic information about the subject being described.

**Pragmatic Meaning in Phraseological Units.** Pragmatic meaning refers to the communicative effects produced by language in context. It includes: speaker attitude, emotional evaluation, social implications, communicative intentions, cultural associations. Phraseological units often convey pragmatic meanings more effectively than literal language because they activate shared cultural knowledge.

**Evaluative Function of Compound Words.** One of the most important pragmatic functions of compound words is evaluation. For example: “*Tight-fisted*” - Literal meaning: Having tightly closed fists. Pragmatic meaning: A person who is unwilling to spend money. The expression conveys a negative evaluation and often implies criticism. “*Soft-hearted*” - Literal meaning: Possessing a gentle



heart. Pragmatic meaning: A kind and compassionate individual. This compound generally communicates positive evaluation and approval. Such compounds influence listeners' attitudes and interpretations during communication.

*Expressive and Emotional Functions.* Compound words frequently enhance the emotional intensity of phraseological units. Examples include: “Hot-headed”, “Cold-hearted”, “Empty-headed”, “Narrow-minded”.

These compounds create vivid mental images that strengthen expressive impact. For instance, describing someone as "hot-headed" communicates not only impulsiveness but also emotional intensity and potential unpredictability. As a result, the phraseological expression becomes more persuasive and memorable.

*Cultural and Social Implications.* Many compound-based phraseologisms contain culturally specific meanings. Consider: *White-collar worker*. The compound originally referred to office workers who wore white shirts. Over time, it became associated with professional occupations and social status. *Blue-collar worker*. This compound developed cultural associations with manual labor and working-class identity. The pragmatic interpretation of these expressions depends heavily on cultural knowledge and social context.

*Metaphorical Foundations of Pragmatic Meaning.* Metaphor serves as a major source of pragmatic content. Examples include: “Hard-headed”, “Big-hearted”, “Open-minded”, “Thick-skinned”. These compounds transfer physical characteristics to psychological qualities. Through metaphorical extension, speakers communicate complex evaluations in a concise and effective manner. The pragmatic power of these compounds arises from their ability to connect abstract concepts with concrete imagery.

*Communicative Efficiency and Pragmatic Economy.* Phraseological units containing compound words promote communicative efficiency by condensing complex meanings into compact expressions. For example: “*A hard-headed negotiator*”. This phrase communicates: practical thinking; rational decision-making; resistance to emotional influence; professional competence. Without the compound word, considerably more explanation would be required. Thus, compounds contribute to pragmatic economy and linguistic efficiency.

*Pragmatic Interpretation in Context.* The interpretation of compound-based phraseologisms depends on contextual factors. These factors include: speaker intention; social relationship between participants; cultural background;



communicative situation; discourse context. For example, calling someone a “high-flyer” may express admiration, envy, or irony depending on the context. Consequently, pragmatic meaning emerges through interaction between linguistic form and communicative circumstances.

## Results

The analysis produced several important findings:

1. Compound words play a central role in the formation of pragmatic content within English phraseological units.
  2. They contribute evaluative, emotional, and expressive meanings that extend beyond literal semantics.
  3. Metaphorical and symbolic associations enhance the communicative effectiveness of phraseologisms.
  4. Compound words facilitate pragmatic economy by conveying complex meanings concisely.
  5. Cultural knowledge significantly influences the interpretation of compound-based phraseological expressions.
  6. Pragmatic meaning depends on both linguistic structure and contextual factors.
- These findings confirm that compound words function as important carriers of pragmatic information in English phraseology.

## Conclusion

The role of compound words in the formation of the pragmatic content of phraseologisms is both significant and multifaceted. Through their semantic structure, metaphorical foundations, and cultural associations, compounds contribute substantially to the communicative effectiveness of phraseological units. The analysis demonstrates that compound words enable speakers to express evaluations, emotions, attitudes, and intentions in concise yet powerful ways. Their presence within phraseological units enriches linguistic expression and strengthens pragmatic impact. Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of considering both semantic and pragmatic dimensions when analyzing phraseological expressions. Understanding the interaction between compound words and phraseological meaning contributes to broader research in linguistics, pragmatics, cognitive studies, and intercultural communication.



Future research may investigate corpus-based evidence of compound usage in phraseology and conduct comparative analyses across different languages and cultural traditions.

## References

1. Bauer, L. (1983). *English Word-Formation*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Cruse, D. A. (2000). *Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
3. Leech, G. (1983). *Principles of Pragmatics*. Longman.
4. Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Kunin, A. V. (1984). *English-Russian Phraseological Dictionary*. Moscow: Russian Language Publishers.
6. Vinogradov, V. V. (1977). *Selected Works on Lexicology and Phraseology*. Moscow.
7. Cowie, A. P. (1998). *Phraseology: Theory, Analysis and Applications*. Oxford University Press.
8. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press.
9. Kövecses, Z. (2010). *Metaphor: A Practical Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
10. Palmer, F. R. (1981). *Semantics*. Cambridge University Press.
11. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). *English Idioms in Use*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Crystal, D. (2010). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language*. Cambridge University Press.